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# Introduction

Your data science organization has been asked to conduct a study on quality of care for Medicare patients. In order to do this, you will need to apply the concepts and tools discussed in the first half of the semester. Over the course of the next weeks, you will:

* Load data into an HDFS Data Lake
* Create an ER diagram and schema for the data you are going to analyze
* Transform raw data from the data lake to fit your data model
* Analyze your derived data to answer questions about quality of care

The motivation for this exercise is twofold. First, the exercise is designed to put into practice concepts and technologies discussed in the first half of the semester. Second, the exercise requires that you make the connection between analyzing data and how data is stored, modeled, and processed.

# The Questions

Your organization wishes to understand how to change outcomes for Medicare patients. To maximize impact, your group will have to determine

* What hospitals are models of high-quality care? That is, which hospitals have the most consistently high scores for a variety of procedures.
* What states are models of high-quality care?
* Which procedures have the greatest variability between hospitals?
* Are average scores for hospital quality or procedural variability correlated with patient survey responses?

# The Data

You will be working with data from the [Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Hospital Compare](https://data.medicare.gov/data/hospital-compare) project. You can download the entire dataset as flat comma-separated files [here](https://data.medicare.gov/views/bg9k-emty/files/Nqcy71p9Ss2RSBWDmP77H1DQXcyacr2khotGbDHHW_s?content_type=application%2Fzip%3B%20charset%3Dbinary&filename=Hospital_Revised_Flatfiles.zip). A description of the various files is provided in the [data dictionary](https://data.medicare.gov/views/bg9k-emty/files/mvcgErpllfAtE1g_KB_EJ7FMyc6BKLTPYGCn-xklP6I?filename=Hospital.pdf&content_type=application%2Fpdf%3B%20charset%3Dbinary).

You do not -- and should not – attempt to use every file to solve the exercise. Instead, focus on identifying those base files which will help you build quality answers to the questions. You can find a summery of some of the base files in Table 1.

Table 1 Dataset information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| File Name | Description | Suggested Renaming |
| Hospital General Information.csv | General Hospital information | hospitals.csv |
| Timely and Effective Care - Hospital.csv | Procedure data | effective\_care.csv |
| Readmissions and Deaths - Hospital.csv | Procedure data | readmissions.csv |
| Measure Dates.csv | Mapping of measures to codes | Measures.csv |
| hvbp\_hcahps\_05\_28\_2015.csv | Example survey response data | surveys\_responses.csv |

### Tip

You can easily strip the first line of a file and write it to a new file with a new name like this:

**tail -n +2 /path/to/original > /path/to/new\_file**

# Tasks

Each week’s work builds on the previous, starting with loading and describing data, and concluding with answering the questions described above. Throughout the exercise, you will need to check your work into a git repository and push it to your github account. You will be graded both on the correctness of your code and output, as well as on your analytical reasoning.

## Week 5

### Percentage of Exercise Grade: 33%

In the first week, you need to stage and model the CMS Hospital Compare. You will stage it in a HDFS-backed Data Lake, impose that schema in the Hive Metastore, and a design a schema into which you will transform the data.

1. Create a folder in your github repository for exercise\_1
   1. Create a folder under exercise\_1 called loading\_and\_modelling
2. Load the raw data files into HDFS under “/user/<user\_name>/hospital\_compare” on either your AWS or Vagrant machine
   1. It is **strongly** recommended that you remove the header lines from the files before loading them into HDFS
   2. You may want to consider renaming the files to eliminate spaces and better describe the contents
   3. Place the commands to do any renaming and loading to HDFS in a file called “load\_data\_lake.sh”
      1. Add this file to your git repository, commit and push the changes
3. Build an ER diagram for the entities and relationships you need to answer the questions above
   1. At minimum, your ER diagram must include entities for Hospitals, Procedures and Survey Results
   2. Save this ER diagram as a PNG file to the loading\_and\_modelling directory
   3. Add it to your git repository, commit and push the changes
4. Write Data Definition Language (DDL) SQL statements for each of the base files you have loaded into HDFS
   1. DDL statements are of the form
      1. DROP TABLE <table\_name>;
      2. CREATE EXTERNAL TABLE <table\_name> (<col1\_name>, <col1\_type>, …)

ROW FORMAT DELIMITED

FIELDS TERMINATED BY ‘,’

STORED AS TEXTFILE

LOCATION ‘/path/in/hdfs’;

* + 1. Store the statements in a file called “hive\_base\_ddl.sql”
       1. Run this file in Hive using: hive –f /path/to/hive\_base\_ddl.sql
       2. Refer to the [Hive Language Manual](https://cwiki.apache.org/confluence/display/Hive/LanguageManual) for more detail on Data Definition statements
       3. When the DDL is error free, add this file to your git repository, commit and push the changes

## Week 6

### Percentage of Exercise Grade: 33%

In the week following the construction of your data lake, you will need to transform the raw data into a set of tables which matches your ER diagram. You may use Hive’s SQL interface, SparkSQL’s SQL interface or Pyspark to perform the transformations.

1. Create a folder under exercise\_1 called “transforming”
2. For each of the entities (tables) in your ER diagram write either:
   1. A SQL query which transforms the raw data into that shape
      1. SQL queries which transform data should use CREATE TABLE AS SELECT to store transformed data
   2. A python program which uses pyspark to transform the data
      1. Pyspark programs which transform data should use sc.saveAsTextFile to store transformed data
3. Put each transformation in a file ending in .sql (for Hive/SparkSQL) or .py (for Pyspark) under “transforming
   1. When each file runs correctly and the data is in the appropriate shape, add it to the git repository, commit it, and push to github

## Week 7

### Percentage of Exercise Grade: 33%

In the final week of the exercise, you should focus on answering the 3 questions described at the outset.

1. Create a folder called “investigations” under exercise\_1
2. Create folders under investigations called
   1. best\_hospitals
   2. best\_states
   3. hospital\_variability
   4. hospitals\_and\_patients
3. For each question, devise either SQL queries (for Hive or SparkSQL) or python programs (using PySpark) to produce a table of **10** entries which support your answer to the question. **For example**:
   1. Devise a SQL query that finds the 10 hospitals with the highest quality of care, along with their aggregate and average quality scores, as well as variability in scores.
   2. Place this query in a file called “best\_hospitals.sql”
   3. Add a text file called “best\_hospitals.txt”
      1. Write your answer to the question about hospital quality of care and provide the table of 10 results which supports this.
      2. Your written answer should provide **your conclusion,** your justification for **why this approach is appropriate** and **why these results support your conclusion**
4. For each query/python script and textfile, add them to you git repository, commit them and push the result.

# Evaluation and Acceptance Criteria

**Deliverables**

1. All code outlined above **must be** committed and pushed to your github repository.
2. All code must be runnable by your instructor in the ucb\_complete AWS AMI
3. Your github repository **must be** shared with your section instructor. You need to add your instructor as a collaborator and create a pull request once you are done.
4. All code is due at midnight, Hawaii time Sunday, October 18th. Check-ins to github beyond that date will be ignored.

**Grading Criteria**

1. All code will be executed by your section instructor or TA in the AWS AMI.
2. Full points for a section requires
   1. All code runs without error
   2. All queries produce the results desired (matches the ER diagram or the table in the results files)
   3. Analysis results sufficiently support the answer the question and the reasoning behind that answer.
   4. Conclusion is consistent with the data provided
   5. Your submission follows the **Exercise Grading Guidelines** outlined in the syllabus